## **National Taiwan Ocean University**

## Guidelines for the Qualification Examination of Doctoral

## **Degree Candidates**

Approved by the Academic Affairs Meeting on March 25, 2004.

Approved for reference through Tai-Gao-Er-Zi No.0930067454 on May 24, 2004.

Amended and approved by the first Academic Affairs Meeting on August 20, 2004.

Approved for reference through Tai-Gao-Er-Zi No.0930148923 on November 16, 2004.

Promulgated on November 26, 2004, through Hai-Jiao-Zhu-Zi No.0930010215A.

Amended and approved by the second Academic Affairs Meeting of the second semester of the 2006 academic year on June 25, 2007. (Article 5 and 8 amended)

Approved for reference through Tai-Gao-Er-Zi No.0960151478 on October 15, 2007.

Promulgated on October 22, 2007, through Hai-Jiao-Zhu-Zi No.0960011481.

Amended and approved by the first Academic Affairs Meeting of the first semester of the 2010 academic vear on October 28, 2010. (Full text amended)

Consented for reference by the Ministry of Education through Tai-Gao-Er-Zi No.0990212853 on December 15, 2010. (Article 1 to 6 and 8)

Amended and approved by the second Academic Affairs Meeting of the first semester of the 2010 academic year on December 30, 2010. (Article 7 amended)

Consented for reference by the Ministry of Education through Tai-Gao-Er-Zi No.1000009506 on January 27, 2011.

Promulgated on February 21, 2011, through Hai-Jiao-Zhu-Zi No.1000001938E.

Amended and approved by the second Academic Affairs Meeting of the first semester of the 2019 academic year on December 26, 2019. (Article 5 amended)

Consented for reference by the Ministry of Education through Tai-Jiao-Gao-Er-Zi No. 1090003528 on January 21, 2020. (Article 5)

Promulgated on February 12, 2020, through Hai-Jiao-Zhu-Zi No.1090002209.

Amended and approved by the first Academic Affairs Meeting of the second semester of the 2021 academic year on April 14, 2022, (Article 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7 amended)

Amended and approved by the second Academic Affairs Meeting of the second semester of the 2021

academic year on June 15, 2022. (Article 2, 4, and 5 amended)

Amended and approved by the second Academic Affairs Meeting of the first semester of the 2022

academic year on December 22, 2022. (Article 2 to 11 amended)

Amended and approved by the first Academic Affairs Meeting of the second semester of the 2022

academic year on April 13, 2023. (Article 11 amended)

Promulgated on April 26, 2023, through Hai-Jiao-Zhu-Zi No.1120009060.

- Article 1 To enhance the research and professional capabilities of doctoral students and to ensure their appropriate scholarly development, these Guidelines are established in accordance with Article 26 of the University Act and Article 9 of the Degree Conferral Act.
- Article 2 Doctoral students should be rigorously assessed by their respective departments, institutes, or degree programs (hereinafter referred to as departments). Only those who pass the qualification assessment and meet the relevant requirements for doctoral candidacy stipulated by the Ministry of Education's Degree Conferral Act may be nominated as doctoral degree candidates by their respective departments.
- Article 3 To apply for the qualification examination, doctoral degree candidates must prepare a detailed outline and basic content of their dissertation. Applications for the qualification examination are limited to once per semester and are to be accepted on dates set by each department.

- Article 4 Upon receiving applications for the qualification examination, each <u>department</u> should organize a <u>Qualification Examination Committee</u> for <u>Doctoral Degree Candidates</u> (hereinafter referred to as the <u>Qualification</u> Examination Committee) to conduct the examination process. The qualification examination is conducted through an oral examination of the dissertation plan, and may also be combined with a written examination. <u>The conditions for applying for the qualification examination</u>, the methods of examination, and the subjects tested are to be determined by each <u>department</u>.
- Article 5 The composition of the Qualification Examination Committee shall be in accordance with the following provisions:
  - (1) The Committee shall consist of five to nine members, with the <u>advisor(s) (including co-advisors)</u> not exceeding half, and <u>at least one-third (inclusive)</u> being external members. There must be at least one full-time faculty member from the respective <u>department</u>. The chairperson of the committee is appointed by the President and cannot be the advisor.
  - (2) Members of the Committee should specialize in the research field of the doctoral candidate and must possess one of the following qualifications:
    - 1. Current or former professors or associate professors.
    - 2. Academicians of Academia Sinica, current or former research fellows or associate research fellows of Academia Sinica.
    - 3. Holders of a doctoral degree with academic achievements.
    - 4. Those in rare or specialized fields with academic or professional achievements. The criteria for nominating members under subparagraph 3 and 4 are to be established by each department's meeting.
  - (3) Committee members are recommended by the advisor and submitted to the department's Doctoral Qualification Review Committee or departmental meetings for review. If necessary, the meeting may add or remove names from the list. After approval, the appointment is finalized upon the President's endorsement.

Candidates for qualification examination and committee members must not have blood or marriage relations within the third degree of kinship.

## Article 6 Convening and grading of the Qualification Examination Committee:

(1) At least five members must attend; no proxy is allowed. The Committee must include at least one-third (inclusive) external members and no more than half advisors (including co-advisors), with at least one full-time faculty member from the respective department. Otherwise, the qualification examination cannot be conducted. If conducted without meeting these conditions, the result will not be recognized.

- (2) The passing grade is 70 out of 100, with only one grading attempt. Each attending member individually grades anonymously. If one-third or more of the attending members grade as fail, or the overall average score is a fail, the candidate is considered to have failed.
- (3) Qualification examination must be graded and cannot be deemed a 'preparatory' or 'review' meeting without a grade. Ungraded examinations are considered a fail.
- Article 7 Upon passing the qualification examination, <u>departments</u> must notify the Academic Affairs Office to record the results.
- Article 8 Doctoral students who do not complete the qualification examination within the prescribed period by their department, or who fail the examination and fail again upon reapplication, will be notified by the department to the Academic Affairs Office for mandatory withdrawal from the University.
- Article 9 Each department should establish implementation guidelines for the qualification examination of doctoral degree candidates based on these Guidelines or specify them in the graduate study regulations. These must be approved by the departmental meeting, college meeting, and Academic Affairs Meeting before implementation. If the department has stricter regulations, those shall prevail.
- Article 10 Matters not covered in these Guidelines shall be handled in accordance with relevant University regulations.
- Article 11 These Guidelines shall be implemented after approval by the Academic Affairs Meeting.

Note: These guidelines are formulated in Chinese. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.